Witten-Reshetikhin-Turaev invariants of mapping tori and their asymptotics

Winter School on Mathematical Physics, Les Houches 2012

Søren Fuglede Jørgensen
Joint with Jørgen Ellegaard Andersen

QGM, Aarhus University

February 1, 2012

Notation

- Let $G = \mathrm{SU}(N)$, and let M be an (oriented connected framed) closed 3-manifold.
- Let $\mathcal{A}$ be the space of connections in $G \times M \to M$, and let $\mathcal{G}$ be the group of gauge transformations.
- Define the Chern–Simons functional $\mathrm{CS} : \mathcal{A} \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\mathrm{CS}(A) = \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \int_M \mathrm{tr}(A \wedge dA + \frac{2}{3} A \wedge A \wedge A).$$

- For $g \in \mathcal{G}$, we have $\mathrm{CS}(g^* A) - \mathrm{CS}(A) \in \mathbb{Z}$, and we can consider

$$\mathrm{CS} : \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{G} \to \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$$

Notation

- Let $G = \mathrm{SU}(N)$, and let M be an (oriented connected framed) closed 3-manifold.
- Let $\mathcal{A}$ be the space of connections in $G \times M \to M$, and let $\mathcal{G}$ be the group of gauge transformations.
- Define the Chern–Simons functional $\mathrm{CS} : \mathcal{A} \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\mathrm{CS}(A) = \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \int_M \mathrm{tr}(A \wedge dA + \frac{2}{3} A \wedge A \wedge A).$$

- For $g \in \mathcal{G}$, we have $\mathrm{CS}(g^*A) - \mathrm{CS}(A) \in \mathbb{Z}$, and we can consider

$$\mathrm{CS} : \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{G} \to \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$$

Notation

- Let $G = \mathrm{SU}(N)$, and let M be an (oriented connected framed) closed 3-manifold.
- Let $\mathcal{A}$ be the space of connections in $G \times M \to M$, and let $\mathcal{G}$ be the group of gauge transformations.
- Define the Chern–Simons functional $\mathrm{CS} : \mathcal{A} \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\mathrm{CS}(A) = \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \int_M \mathrm{tr}(A \wedge dA + \frac{2}{3} A \wedge A \wedge A).$$

- For $g \in \mathcal{G}$, we have $\mathrm{CS}(g^* A) - \mathrm{CS}(A) \in \mathbb{Z}$, and we can consider

$$\mathrm{CS} : \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{G} \to \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$$

Notation

- Let $G = \mathrm{SU}(N)$, and let M be an (oriented connected framed) closed 3-manifold.
- Let $\mathcal{A}$ be the space of connections in $G \times M \to M$, and let $\mathcal{G}$ be the group of gauge transformations.
- Define the Chern–Simons functional $\mathrm{CS} : \mathcal{A} \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\mathrm{CS}(A) = \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \int_M \mathrm{tr}(A \wedge dA + \frac{2}{3} A \wedge A \wedge A).$$

- For $g \in \mathcal{G}$, we have $\mathrm{CS}(g^* A) - \mathrm{CS}(A) \in \mathbb{Z}$, and we can consider

$$\mathrm{CS} : \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{G} \to \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$$

The Chern–Simons partition function

- Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ (called the *level*) and define the *Chern–Simons partition function*

$$Z_k^{\mathrm{phys}}(M) = \int_{\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{G}} e^{2\pi i k \,\mathsf{CS}(A)} \mathcal{D}A \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Witten '89: This defines a topological invariant of closed 3-manifolds.

Main question

What does $\int_{\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{G}} \mathcal{D}A$ mean?

The Chern–Simons partition function

- Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ (called the *level*) and define the *Chern–Simons partition function*

$$Z_k^{\text{phys}}(M) = \int_{\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{G}} e^{2\pi i k \, \mathsf{CS}(A)} \mathcal{D}A \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Witten '89: This defines a topological invariant of closed 3-manifolds.

Main question

What does $\int_{\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{G}} \mathcal{D}A$ mean?

The Chern–Simons partition function

- Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ (called the *level*) and define the *Chern–Simons partition function*

$$Z_k^{\mathrm{phys}}(M) = \int_{\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{G}} e^{2\pi i k \, \mathsf{CS}(A)} \mathcal{D}A \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Witten '89: This defines a topological invariant of closed 3-manifolds.

Main question

What does $\int_{\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{G}} \mathcal{D}A$ mean?

A possible answer

Theorem (Reshetikhin–Turaev, et al.)

One can construct a topological invariant Z_k of 3-manifolds, called the quantum G-invariant, which behaves under gluing (or surgery) the way Z_k^{phys} is supposed to do.

Conjecture

For a closed oriented 3-manifold M,

$$Z_k^{\mathrm{phys}}(M) = Z_k(M).$$

Goal of this talk

Understand $Z_k(M)$ in the case where M is a mapping torus.

A possible answer

Theorem (Reshetikhin–Turaev, et al.)

One can construct a topological invariant Z_k of 3-manifolds, called the quantum G-invariant, which behaves under gluing (or surgery) the way Z_k^{phys} is supposed to do.

Conjecture

For a closed oriented 3-manifold M,

$$Z_k^{\mathrm{phys}}(M) = Z_k(M).$$

Goal of this talk

Understand $Z_k(M)$ in the case where M is a mapping torus.

A possible answer

Theorem (Reshetikhin–Turaev, et al.)

One can construct a topological invariant Z_k of 3-manifolds, called the quantum G-invariant, which behaves under gluing (or surgery) the way Z_k^{phys} is supposed to do.

Conjecture

For a closed oriented 3-manifold M,

$$Z_k^{\mathrm{phys}}(M) = Z_k(M).$$

Goal of this talk

Understand $Z_k(M)$ in the case where M is a mapping torus.

Topological quantum field theory

Reshetikhin and Turaev proved that the invariant Z_k is part of a $2+1$-dimensional topological quantum field theory (Z_k, V_k):

Topological quantum field theory

Reshetikhin and Turaev proved that the invariant Z_k is part of a $2+1$-dimensional topological quantum field theory (Z_k, V_k):



Topological quantum field theory

Reshetikhin and Turaev proved that the invariant Z_k is part of a $2+1$-dimensional topological quantum field theory (Z_k, V_k):



Topological quantum field theory

Reshetikhin and Turaev proved that the invariant Z_k is part of a $2+1$-dimensional topological quantum field theory (Z_k, V_k):



Topological quantum field theory

Reshetikhin and Turaev proved that the invariant Z_k is part of a $2+1$-dimensional topological quantum field theory (Z_k, V_k):



Topological quantum field theory

Reshetikhin and Turaev proved that the invariant Z_k is part of a $2+1$-dimensional topological quantum field theory (Z_k, V_k):



Quantum representations

The data (Z_k, V_k) satisfies a number of axioms.

Example

Let $\varphi : \Sigma \to \Sigma$ be a diffeomorphism and consider the *mapping cylinder* and the *mapping torus*

$$M_\varphi = \Sigma \times [0, \tfrac{1}{2}] \cup_\varphi \Sigma \times [\tfrac{1}{2}, 1]$$
$$T_\varphi = \Sigma \times [0,1]/((x,0) \sim (\varphi(x), 1)).$$

Then $Z_k(M_\varphi) : V_k(\Sigma) \to V_k(\Sigma)$ depend on φ only up to isotopy. Define the *quantum representations* $\rho_k : \mathrm{MCG}(\Sigma) \to \mathrm{Aut}(V_k(\Sigma))$ by $\rho_k([\varphi]) = Z_k(M_\varphi)$. Furthermore, $Z_k(M_\varphi) = V_k(\varphi)$ and $Z_k(T_\varphi) = \operatorname{tr} Z_k(M_\varphi) = \operatorname{tr} \rho_k([\varphi])$.

Revised goal

Understand $\rho_k(f)$ *for* $f \in \mathrm{MCG}(\Sigma)$.

Quantum representations

The data (Z_k, V_k) satisfies a number of axioms.

Example

Let $\varphi : \Sigma \to \Sigma$ be a diffeomorphism and consider the *mapping cylinder* and the *mapping torus*

$$M_\varphi = \Sigma \times [0, \tfrac{1}{2}] \cup_\varphi \Sigma \times [\tfrac{1}{2}, 1]$$
$$T_\varphi = \Sigma \times [0,1]/((x,0) \sim (\varphi(x),1)).$$

Then $Z_k(M_\varphi) : V_k(\Sigma) \to V_k(\Sigma)$ depend on φ only up to isotopy. Define the *quantum representations* $\rho_k : \mathrm{MCG}(\Sigma) \to \mathrm{Aut}(V_k(\Sigma))$ by $\rho_k([\varphi]) = Z_k(M_\varphi)$. Furthermore, $Z_k(M_\varphi) = V_k(\varphi)$ and $Z_k(T_\varphi) = \operatorname{tr} Z_k(M_\varphi) = \operatorname{tr} \rho_k([\varphi])$.

Revised goal

Understand $\rho_k(f)$ for $f \in \mathrm{MCG}(\Sigma)$.

Quantum representations

The data (Z_k, V_k) satisfies a number of axioms.

Example

Let $\varphi : \Sigma \to \Sigma$ be a diffeomorphism and consider the *mapping cylinder* and the *mapping torus*

$$M_\varphi = \Sigma \times [0, \tfrac{1}{2}] \cup_\varphi \Sigma \times [\tfrac{1}{2}, 1]$$
$$T_\varphi = \Sigma \times [0, 1]/((x, 0) \sim (\varphi(x), 1)).$$

Then $Z_k(M_\varphi) : V_k(\Sigma) \to V_k(\Sigma)$ depend on φ only up to isotopy. Define the *quantum representations* $\rho_k : \mathrm{MCG}(\Sigma) \to \mathrm{Aut}(V_k(\Sigma))$ by $\rho_k([\varphi]) = Z_k(M_\varphi)$. Furthermore, $Z_k(M_\varphi) = V_k(\varphi)$ and $Z_k(T_\varphi) = \operatorname{tr} Z_k(M_\varphi) = \operatorname{tr} \rho_k([\varphi])$.

Revised goal

Understand $\rho_k(f)$ for $f \in \mathrm{MCG}(\Sigma)$.

Quantum representations

The data (Z_k, V_k) satisfies a number of axioms.

Example

Let $\varphi : \Sigma \to \Sigma$ be a diffeomorphism and consider the *mapping cylinder* and the *mapping torus*

$$M_\varphi = \Sigma \times [0, \tfrac{1}{2}] \cup_\varphi \Sigma \times [\tfrac{1}{2}, 1]$$
$$T_\varphi = \Sigma \times [0, 1]/((x, 0) \sim (\varphi(x), 1)).$$

Then $Z_k(M_\varphi) : V_k(\Sigma) \to V_k(\Sigma)$ depend on φ only up to isotopy. Define the *quantum representations* $\rho_k : \mathrm{MCG}(\Sigma) \to \mathrm{Aut}(V_k(\Sigma))$ by $\rho_k([\varphi]) = Z_k(M_\varphi)$. Furthermore, $Z_k(M_\varphi) = V_k(\varphi)$ and $Z_k(T_\varphi) = \operatorname{tr} Z_k(M_\varphi) = \operatorname{tr} \rho_k([\varphi])$.

Revised goal

Understand $\rho_k(f)$ *for* $f \in \mathrm{MCG}(\Sigma)$.

Connections and buzzwords

The quantum representations and invariants have been constructed from a number of different perspectives.

- Using quantum groups and their representations (Reshetikhin–Turaev, ...).
- Knot and skein theory (Blanchet–Masbaum–Habegger–Vogel, ...).
- Geometric quantization of moduli spaces (Hitchin, ...).
- Conformal field theory (Tsuchiya, Ueno, Yamada, ...).

Connections and buzzwords

The quantum representations and invariants have been constructed from a number of different perspectives.

- Using quantum groups and their representations (Reshetikhin–Turaev, ...).
- Knot and skein theory (Blanchet–Masbaum–Habegger–Vogel, ...).
- Geometric quantization of moduli spaces (Hitchin, ...).
- Conformal field theory (Tsuchiya, Ueno, Yamada, ...).

Connections and buzzwords

The quantum representations and invariants have been constructed from a number of different perspectives.

- Using quantum groups and their representations (Reshetikhin–Turaev, ...).
- Knot and skein theory (Blanchet–Masbaum–Habegger–Vogel, ...).
- Geometric quantization of moduli spaces (Hitchin, ...).
- Conformal field theory (Tsuchiya, Ueno, Yamada, ...).

Connections and buzzwords

The quantum representations and invariants have been constructed from a number of different perspectives.

- Using quantum groups and their representations (Reshetikhin–Turaev, ...).
- Knot and skein theory (Blanchet–Masbaum–Habegger–Vogel, ...).
- Geometric quantization of moduli spaces (Hitchin, ...).
- Conformal field theory (Tsuchiya, Ueno, Yamada, ...).

Connections and buzzwords

The quantum representations and invariants have been constructed from a number of different perspectives.

- Using quantum groups and their representations (Reshetikhin–Turaev, ...).
- Knot and skein theory (Blanchet–Masbaum–Habegger–Vogel, ...).
- Geometric quantization of moduli spaces (Hitchin, ...).
- Conformal field theory (Tsuchiya, Ueno, Yamada, ...).

A Dehn twist



Figure: The Dehn twist t_γ about a curve γ.

The Dehn–Lickorish theorem

Theorem (Dehn–Lickorish)

The mapping class group MCG(Σ) *is generated by a certain finite set of Dehn twists about curves in* Σ.



Figure: The Dehn–Lickorish generators in a genus 3 surface.

A first example

Example

Let $f = \mathrm{id} \in \mathrm{MCG}(\Sigma_g)$ and $G = \mathrm{SU}(2)$. Then

$$Z_k(T_{\mathrm{id}}) = Z_k(\Sigma_g \times S^1) = \operatorname{tr} \rho_k(\mathrm{id}) = \dim V_k(\Sigma_g)$$
$$= \left(\frac{k+2}{2}\right)^{g-1} \sum_{j=1}^{k+1} \left(\sin^2 \frac{j\pi}{k+2}\right)^{1-g} \in \mathbb{N}.$$

This is the *Verlinde formula*. For example,

$$\dim V_k(S^2) = 1,$$
$$\dim V_k(S^1 \times S^1) = k+1,$$
$$\dim V_k(\Sigma_2) = \frac{1}{6}(k+1)(k+2)(k+3).$$

A first example

Example

Let $f = \mathrm{id} \in \mathrm{MCG}(\Sigma_g)$ and $G = \mathrm{SU}(2)$. Then

$$Z_k(T_{\mathrm{id}}) = Z_k(\Sigma_g \times S^1) = \operatorname{tr} \rho_k(\mathrm{id}) = \dim V_k(\Sigma_g)$$
$$= \left(\frac{k+2}{2}\right)^{g-1} \sum_{j=1}^{k+1} \left(\sin^2 \frac{j\pi}{k+2}\right)^{1-g} \in \mathbb{N}.$$

This is the *Verlinde formula*. For example,

$$\dim V_k(S^2) = 1,$$
$$\dim V_k(S^1 \times S^1) = k+1,$$
$$\dim V_k(\Sigma_2) = \frac{1}{6}(k+1)(k+2)(k+3).$$

A second example

Example

Let γ in $S^1 \times S^1$ be non-trivial, and let t_γ be the Dehn twist about γ. The SU(2)-invariants $Z_k(T_{t_\gamma})$ behave as follows:



Figure: Plots of $Z_k(T_{t_\gamma}) \in \mathbb{C}$ for $k = 1, \ldots, 100$ and $G = \mathrm{SU}(2)$.

A second example

Example

Let γ in $S^1 \times S^1$ be non-trivial, and let t_γ be the Dehn twist about γ. The SU(2)-invariants $Z_k(T_{t_\gamma})$ behave as follows:



Figure: Plots of $Z_k(T_{t_\gamma}) \in \mathbb{C}$ for $k = 1, \ldots, 100$ and $G = \mathrm{SU}(2)$.

A second example

Example

Let γ in $S^1 \times S^1$ be non-trivial, and let t_γ be the Dehn twist about γ. The SU(2)-invariants $Z_k(T_{t_\gamma})$ behave as follows:



Figure: Plots of $Z_k(T_{t_\gamma}) \in \mathbb{C}$ for $k = 1, \dots, 100$ and $G = \mathrm{SU}(2)$.

Asymptotic expansion conjecture

Recall that the partition function looked like

$$Z_k^{\mathrm{phys}}(M) = \int_{\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{G}} e^{2\pi i k \,\mathrm{CS}(A)} \mathcal{D}A.$$

Let $\mathcal{M}$ be the moduli space of flat connections on a 3-manifold M, and let $0 = c_0, c_1, \ldots, c_n$ be the values of CS on $\mathcal{M}$.

Conjecture (The asymptotic expansion conjecture)

There exist $d_j \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$, $b_j \in \mathbb{C}$, $a_j^l \in \mathbb{C}$ for $j = 0, \ldots, n$, $l \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that $Z_k(M)$ has the asymptotic expansion

$$Z_k(M) \sim_{k\to\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{n} e^{2\pi i k c_j} k^{d_j} b_j \left(1 + \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} a_j^l k^{-l/2}\right)$$

Asymptotic expansion conjecture

Recall that the partition function looked like

$$Z_k^{\mathrm{phys}}(M) = \int_{\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{G}} e^{2\pi i k\,\mathrm{CS}(A)} \mathcal{D}A.$$

Let $\mathcal{M}$ be the moduli space of flat connections on a 3-manifold M, and let $0 = c_0, c_1, \ldots, c_n$ be the values of CS on $\mathcal{M}$.

Conjecture (The asymptotic expansion conjecture)

There exist $d_j \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$, $b_j \in \mathbb{C}$, $a_j^l \in \mathbb{C}$ for $j = 0, \ldots, n$, $l \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that $Z_k(M)$ has the asymptotic expansion

$$Z_k(M) \sim_{k\to\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{n} e^{2\pi i k c_j} k^{d_j} b_j \left(1 + \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} a_j^l k^{-l/2}\right)$$

Asymptotic expansion conjecture

Recall that the partition function looked like

$$Z_k^{\text{phys}}(M) = \int_{\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{G}} e^{2\pi i k \, \mathrm{CS}(A)} \mathcal{D}A.$$

Let $\mathcal{M}$ be the moduli space of flat connections on a 3-manifold M, and let $0 = c_0, c_1, \ldots, c_n$ be the values of CS on $\mathcal{M}$.

Conjecture (The asymptotic expansion conjecture)

There exist $d_j \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$, $b_j \in \mathbb{C}$, $a_j^l \in \mathbb{C}$ for $j = 0, \ldots, n$, $l \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that $Z_k(M)$ has the asymptotic expansion

$$Z_k(M) \sim_{k\to\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{n} e^{2\pi i k c_j} k^{d_j} b_j \left(1 + \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} a_j^l k^{-l/2}\right)$$

The example revisited



$$Z_{k-2}(T_{t_\gamma}) = e^{\frac{\pi i}{2k}} \left(\sqrt{k/2}\, e^{-\pi i/4} e^{2\pi i k 0} - \frac{e^{2\pi i k 3/4}}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \right).$$

$\mathcal{M}(T_{t_\gamma})$:



$c_0 = 0$ $\quad c_1 = \frac{3}{4}$

$d_0 = \frac{1}{2}$ $\quad d_1 = 0$

Theorems

Theorem (Jeffrey, '92)

Let $G = \mathrm{SU}(2)$. *The AEC holds for every mapping torus* T_f *of a torus diffeomorphism* $f \in \mathrm{MCG}(S^1 \times S^1) \cong \mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{Z})$ *with* $|\mathrm{tr}(f)| > 2$.

Theorem (Andersen, FJ)

Let $G = \mathrm{SU}(2)$. *The AEC holds for* T_f, *where* $f \in \mathrm{MCG}(S^1 \times S^1) \cong \mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{Z})$ *has trace* $|\mathrm{tr}(f)| \leq 2$.

Theorem (Andersen '95)

Let $G = \mathrm{SU}(N)$. *The AEC holds for* $f \in \mathrm{MCG}(\Sigma_g)$, $g \geq 2$, *when* f *is finite order.*

Theorems

Theorem (Jeffrey, '92)

Let $G = \mathrm{SU}(2)$. The AEC holds for every mapping torus T_f of a torus diffeomorphism $f \in \mathrm{MCG}(S^1 \times S^1) \cong \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ with $|\mathrm{tr}(f)| > 2$.

Theorem (Andersen, FJ)

Let $G = \mathrm{SU}(2)$. The AEC holds for T_f, where $f \in \mathrm{MCG}(S^1 \times S^1) \cong \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ has trace $|\mathrm{tr}(f)| \leq 2$.

Theorem (Andersen '95)

Let $G = \mathrm{SU}(N)$. The AEC holds for $f \in \mathrm{MCG}(\Sigma_g)$, $g \geq 2$, when f is finite order.

Theorems

Theorem (Jeffrey, '92)

Let $G = \mathrm{SU}(2)$. *The AEC holds for every mapping torus* T_f *of a torus diffeomorphism* $f \in \mathrm{MCG}(S^1 \times S^1) \cong \mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{Z})$ *with* $|\mathrm{tr}(f)| > 2$.

Theorem (Andersen, FJ)

Let $G = \mathrm{SU}(2)$. *The AEC holds for* T_f, *where* $f \in \mathrm{MCG}(S^1 \times S^1) \cong \mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{Z})$ *has trace* $|\mathrm{tr}(f)| \leq 2$.

Theorem (Andersen '95)

Let $G = \mathrm{SU}(N)$. *The AEC holds for* $f \in \mathrm{MCG}(\Sigma_g)$, $g \geq 2$, *when* f *is finite order.*

Pretty pictures



Figure: Plots of $Z_k(T_{t_\gamma^m})$ for $g = 1, m = 2,\ G = \mathrm{SU}(2)$.

Pretty pictures



Figure: Plots of $Z_k(T_{t_\gamma^m})$ for $g = 1, m = 3,\ G = \mathrm{SU}(2)$.

Pretty pictures



Figure: Plots of $Z_k(T_{t_\gamma^m})$ for $g = 1, m = 4,\ G = \mathrm{SU}(2)$.

Pretty pictures



Figure: Plots of $Z_k(T_{t_\gamma^m})$ for $g = 1, m = 5,\ G = \mathrm{SU}(2)$.

Pretty pictures



Figure: Plots of $Z_k(T_{t_\gamma^m})$ for $g = 2, m = 1,\ G = \mathrm{SU}(2)$.

Pretty pictures



Figure: Plots of $Z_k(T_{t_\gamma^m})$ for $g = 1, m = 1,\ G = \mathrm{SU}(3)$.

Pretty pictures



Figure: Plots of $Z_k(T_{t_\gamma^m})$ for $g = 1, m = 1,\ G = \mathrm{SU}(4)$.

Thanks ...

... for listening!